

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,092	03/01/2002	Anthony C. Bonora	34741-774	1981
33864 7	7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER	
O'MELVENY & MYERS, LLP			FOX, CHARLES A	
275 BATTERY STREET SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3305		3652	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/087,092	BONORA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Charles A. Fox	3652			
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. The betimely filed Sometiments from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	May 2005.				
-	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,4,8-10 and 18-50</u> is/are pending	in the application.				
• —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) 30-38 and 44-50 is/are allowed.		•			
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,8,18,19,21 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4,9,10,20,22,23,25-29 and 39-43 is	s/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 May 2006</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		lication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p					
	application from the International Bur					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	a. 🗖	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3652

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims (1,8,18,21 and 24) and 19 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 respectively of copending Application No. 10/087,638. The indicated claims in the instant application encompass the limitations of the commonly owned application No. 10/087,638. and as such are obvious variants of the device claimed therein. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1,8 and 18 will be allowed once a timely filed terminal disclaimer is received and approved. Claim 1 has the limitation of the storage area being located

Art Unit: 3652

between the interior and exterior surfaces of the structure secured to the bottom of the elongated structural members. Claims 8 and 18 have the limitation of the opening in the isolation plate being within the vertical bounds of the elongated vertical structural members. The closest prior art of Bacchi et al. does not teach or suggest providing these limitations.

Claims 30-38 and 44-50 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: claims 30,34 and 44 all have limitations pertaining to vertical heights of a housing relative to two defined horizontal planes. The closest prior art of Bacchi et al. does not teach or suggest providing housings as claimed in the newly presented claims.

Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims filed on May, 15, 2006 have been entered into the record. All previous rejections and objections have been withdrawn with the exception of the double patenting rejections.

Terminal Disclaimer

The assignee has not established its ownership interest in the patent, in order to support the terminal disclaimer. There is no submission in the record establishing the ownership interest by either (a) providing documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to the assignee, or (b) specifying (by reel and frame number) where such documentary evidence is recorded in the Office (37 CFR 3.73(b)). As such the double patenting rejections are hereby made final.

Art Unit: 3652

Conclusion

- 1. Claims 1,8,18,19,21 and 24 are rejected.
- 2. Claims 4,9,10,20,22,23,25-29,39,40,42 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim.
- 3. claims 30-38 and 44-50 are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3652

a sa 🐷

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

CAF CAF 7-26-06